mario josé testa

TITULO: URBANISM AS A WAY OF LIFE

AUTOR: LOUIS WIRTH

Editorial: The Free Press

Fecha de Publicación: 1959

CURSO: ESTABLECIMIENTOS HUMANOS

PORF.

EDUARDO NEIRA ALVA

4 S.C.

SOLO PARA DISTRIBUCION INTERNA

Instituto de Salud Colectiva Universidad Nacional de Lanús

## URBANISM AS A WAY OF LIFE Louis Wirth

THE CITY AND CONTEMPOHARY CIVILIZATION. Just as the begin-I. ning of Western civilization is marked by the permanent settlement of formerly nomadic peoples in the Mediterranean basin, so the begin ning of what is distinctively modern in our civilization is best been signalized by the growth of great cities. Nowhere has mankind farther removed from organic nature than under the conditions of life characteristic of great cities. The contemporary world no longer presents a picture of small isolated groups of human beings xattered over a vast territory, as Sumner described primitive society. (1)The distinctive feature of the mode of living of man in the modern age is his concentration into gigantic aggregations around which cluster lesser centers and from which radiate the ideas and practices that we call civilization.

The degree to which the contemporary world may be said to be "urban" is not fully or accurately measured by the proportion of the total population living in cities. The influences which cities exert upon the social life of man are greater than the ratio of the urban population would indicate, for the city is not only in ever larger degrees the dwelling-place and the workshop of modern man, but it is the initiating and controlling center of economic, political, and cultural life that has drawn the most remote parts of the world into a cosmos.

The growth of cities and the urbanization of the world is one of the most impressive facts of modern times. Although it is impos sible to state precisely what proportion of the estimated total worl<u>d</u> population of approximately 1,800,000,000 is urban, 69.2 per cent of the total population of those countries that do distinguish between urban and rural areas is urban. (2) Considering the fact. moreover,

Reprinted from The American Journal of Sociology, vol. 44 (July 1938), by permission of the author and the publisher. (Copyright 1938 by The American Journal of Sociology.)

William Graham Sumner, Folkways (Boston, 1906), p. 12.
 S.V. Pearson, The Growth and Distribution of Population (New York, 1935), p 211.

that the world's population is very unevenly distributed and that the growth of cities is not very far advanced in some of the countries that have only recently been touched by industrialism, this average understates the extent to which urban concentration has proceeded in those countries where the impact of the industrial revolution has been more forceful and of less recent date. This shift from a rural to a predominantly,urban society, which has taken place within the span of a single generation in such industrialized areas asothe United States and Japan, has been accompanied by profound changes in virtually every phase of social life. It is these changes and their ramifications that invite the attention of the sociologist to the study of the differences between the rural and the urban mode of living. The pursuit of this interest is an indispensable prerequisite 1 for the comprehension and possible mastery of some of the most crucial contemporary problems of social life since it is likely to furnish one of the most revealing perspectives for the understanding, of the ongoing changes in human nature and the social order.

Since the city is the product of growth rather than of instantaneous creation, it is to be expected that the influences which it exerts upon the modes of life should not be able to wipe out completely the previously dominant modes of human association. To a greater or lesser degree, therefore, our social life bears the imprint of an earlier folk society, the characteristic modes of set tlement of which were the farm, the manor, and the village. This

3. Whereas rural life in the United States has for a long time been a subject of considerable interest on the part of governmental bureaus, the most notable case of a comprehensive report being that submitted by the Country Life Commission to President Theodore Roosevelt. in 1909, it is worthy of note that no equally comprehensive official inquiry into urban life was undertaken until the establish ment of a Research Committee on Urbanism of the National Resources Committee. (Cf: Our Cities: Their Role in the National Economy (Washington: Government Printing Office, 1937).

ا د 🕻 ۲ فېدېد د د د د ا

teletati to talendinica

erela.

196 - L - B

1. 花上

f e

ji.

÷ Į. "

۹.

84

1

4.1

This historic influence is reinforced by the circumstance that the population of the city itself is in large measure recruited from the countryside, where a mode of life reminiscent of this earlier form of existence persists. Hence we should not expect to find abrupt and discontinous variation between urban and rural types of personality. The city and the country may be regarded as two poles in reference to one or the other of which all human settlements wend to arrange themselves. In viewing urban-industrial and rural-folk society as ideal types of communities, we may obtain a perspective for the analysis of the basic models of human association as they appear in contemporary civilization.

II. A SOCIOLOGICAL DEFINITION OF THE CITY. Despite the prepon derant significance of the city in our civilization, however, our knowledge of the nature of urbanism and the process of urbanization is meager. Many attempts have indeed been made to isolate the distinguishing characteristics of urban life. Geographers, histori ans, economistis, and political scientists have incorporated  $\mathbf{the}$ points of view of their respective disciplines into diverse definitions of the city. While in no sense intended to supersede these, the formulation of a sociological approach to the city may inciden tally serve to call attention to the interrelations between them by emphasizing the peculiar characteristics of the city as a particular form of human association. A sociologically significant definition of the city seeks to select those elements of urbanism which mark it as a distinctive mode of human group life.

The characterization of a community as urban on the basis of size alone is obviously arbitrary. It is difficult to defend the present census definition which designates a commodity of 2,500 and above as urban and all others as rural. The situation would be the same if the criterion were 4,000, 8,000, 10,000, 25,000, or 100,000 population, for although in the latter case we might feel that we were more nearly dealing with an urban aggregate than would be the case in communities of lesser size, no definition of urbanism

can hope to be completely satisf<mark>ying as l</mark>ong as numbers are regarded as the sole criterion. Moreover, it is not difficult to demonstrate set number of in that communities of less than the arbitrarily habitants lying with the range of influence of metropolitan centers have greater claim to recognition as urban communities than do larger ones leading a more isolated existence in a predominantly rural area. Finally, it should be recognized that census definitions are unduly influenced by the fact that the city, statistically speaking, 1s always an administrative concept in that the corporate limits play a decisive role in delineating the urban area. Nowhere is this more clearly apparent than in the concentrations of population on the cross arbitrary peripheries of great metropolitan centers which administrative boundaries of city, county, state, and nation.

As long as we identify urbanism with the physical entity of the city, viewing it merely as rigidly delimited in space, and proceed as if urban attributes abruptly ceased to be manifested beyond an arbitrary boundary line, we are not likely to arrive at any adequate conception of urbanism as a mode of life. The technological devel opments in transportation and communication which virtually mark a new epoch in human history have accentuated the role of cities as dominant elements in our civilization and have enourmously extended the urban mode of living beyond the confines of the city itself. The dominance of the city, especially of the great city, may be regarded as a consequence of the concentration in cities of industrial and commercial, financial and administrative facilities and activities, transportation and communication lines, and cultural and recreational equipment such as the press, radio stations, theaters, libraries, museums, concert halls, operas, hospitals, higher educational institu tions, research and publishing centers, professional organizations, and religious and welfare institutions. Were it not for the attrac tion and suggestions that the city exerts through these instrumenta<u>l</u> ities upon de rural population, the differences between the rural

and the urban modes of life would be even greater than they are. Urbanization no longer denotes merely the process by which persons are attracted to a place called the city and incorporated into its system of life. It refers also to that cumulative accentuation of the characteristics distinctive of the mode of life which is asso ciated with the growth of cities, and finally to the changes in the direction of modes of life recognized as urban which are apparent among people, wherever they may be, who have come under the spell of the influences which the city exerts by virtue of the power of its institutions and personalities operating through the means of communication and transportation.

The shortcomings which attach to number of inhabitants as a criterion of urbanism apply for the most part to density of popula tion as well. Whether we accept the density of 10,000 persons per square mile as Mark Jefferson (4) proposed, or 1,000, which 'Vilcox (5) preferred to regard as the criterion of urban settlements, it is clear that unless density is correlated with significant social characteristics it can furnish only an arbitrary basis for differen tiating urban from rural communities. Since our census enumerates the night rather than the day population of an area, the locale of the most intensive urban life--the city center--generally has low population density, and the industrial and commercial areas of the city, which contain the most characteristic economic activities underlying urban society, would scarcely anywhere be truly urban if density were literally interpreted as a mark of urbanism. Never theless, the fact that the urban community is distinguished by a large aggregation and relatively dense concentration of population can scarcely be left out of account in a definition of the city. But these criteria must be seen as relative to the general cultural

4. "The Anthropogeography of Some Great Cities," Bull. American Goegraphical Society, XLI (1909), 537-66
5. Walter F. Willcox, "A Definition of 'City' in Terms of Density," in E.W. Burgess, the Urban Community (Chicago 1926)p.119
6. Op. cit., p.8

Context in which cities arise and exist and are sociologically; rel evant only in so far as they operate as conditioning factors in social life:

The same criticism apply to such criteria as the ocupation of the inhabitants, the existence of certain physical facilities, in stitutions, and forms of political organization. The question is not whether cities in our civilization or in others do exhibit these distinctive traits, but how potent they are in molding the character of social life into its specifically urban form. Nor in formulating a fertile definition can we afford to overlook the great variations between cities. By means of a typology of cities based upon size, location, age, and function, such as we have under taken to establish in our recent report to the National Resources Com- 10 mittee, (6) we have found it feasible to array and classify urban. communities ranging from struggling small towns to thriving worldmetropolitan centers; from isolated trading centers in the midst ; of agricultural regions to thriving world ports and commercial and industrial conurbations Such differences as these appearscrucial because the social characteristics and influences of these dif- is Mar Andreas - 11 - 13 ferent "cities" vary widely.

A'serviceable definition of urbanism should not only denote ... the essential characteristics which all cities -- at least those in our culture -- have in common, but should lend itself to the discovery of their variations. An industrial city will differ signific antly in social respects from a commercial, mining, fishing, resort, university, and capital city. A one-industry city will present different sets of social characteristics from a multi-industry us city, as will an industrially balanced from an inbalanced city, suburb from satellite, a residential suburb from an industrial suburb, a city within a metropolitan region from one lying outside, an old city from a new one, southern city from a New England, a midle-western from a Pacific Coast city, a growing city

· 推动、 小学 · 小学 · 小学

6. Op. cit., p. 8

A sociological definition must obviously be inclusive enough to comprise whatever essential characteristics these different types of cities have in common as social entities, but it obviously cannot. be so detailed as to take account of all the variations implicit in the manifold classes sketched above. Presumably some of the characteristics of cities are more significant in conditioning the nature of urban life than others, and we may expect the outstanding features of the urban-social scene to vary in accordance with size, density, and differences in the functional type of cities. Moreover, we may infer that rural life will bear the imprint of urbanism the in measure that through contact and communication it comes under the influence of cities. It may contribute to the clarity of the statements that follow to repeat that while the locus of urbanism as a mode of life is of course, to be found characteristically in places which fulfil the requirements we shall set up as a definition of the city. urbanism is not confined to such localities but is manifest in varying degrees wherever the influences of the city reach.

While urbanism, or that complex of traits which makes up the 5 which characteristic mode of life in cities, and urbanization denotes the development and extensions of these factors, are thus are cities in the not exclusively found in settlements which physical and demographic sense, they do, nevertheless, find. their most pronounced expression in such areas, especially in metropolitan cities. In formulating a definition of the city it is necessary to exercise caution in order to avoid identifying urbanism as a way of life with any specific locally or historically conditioned cultural specific influences which, while they may significantly affect the character of the community, are not the essential determinants of its character as a city.

It is particularly important to call attention to the danger of confusing urbanism with industrialism and modern capitalism. The raise of cities in the modern world is undoubtedly not independent of the emergence of modern power-driven machine technology, mass

production, and capitalistic enterprise. But different as the cities of earlier epochs may have been by virtue of their develop ment in a preindustrial and precapitalistic order from the set great cities of today, they were, nevertheless, cities.

, a 🖌 Letter Ox. Alter and a

すんみ じいたいたいたいけん

For sociological purposes a city may be defined as a relatively large, dense, and permanent settlement of socially heterogeneous individuals. On the basis of the postulates which this minimal definition suggests, a theory of urbanism may be formulated in the light of existing knowledge concerning social groups.

III. A THEORY OF URBANISM. In the rich literature on the city we look in vain for a theory of urbanism presenting in a sys tematic fashion the available knowledge concerning the city as a social entity. We do indeed have excellent formulations of theories on such special problems as the growth of the city viewed as a his torical trend and as recurrent process, (7) and we have a wealth of sociological relevance literature presenting insights of and empirical studies offering detailed information on a variety of particular aspects of urban life. But despite the multiplication of research and textbooks on the city, we do not as yet have comprehensive body of compendent hypotheses which may be derived from a set of postulates implicitly contained in a sociological definition of the city, and from our general sociological know ledge which may be substantiated through empirical research. The closest approximations to a systematic theory of urbanism that we have are to be found in a penetrating essay, "Die Stadt;" by Max Weber, (8) and a memorable paper by Robert E. Park on "The City: STE SETTING & ENGLISHED the state of the s 1 36 2 × 1

7. See Robert E. Park, Ernest W. Burges, et al., The City (Chicago, 1925), esp. chaps. ii and iii; Werner Sombart, "Städtische Siedlung, Stadt," Handwörterbuch der Soziologie, ed. Alfred. Vierkandt (Stuttgart, 1931); see also bibliography.

8. Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft (Tübingen, 1925), Part I, chap. viii, pp. 514-601.

8 ·

きかかかい ちしき したち いた しかい 二本にの見

Suggestions for the Investigations of Human Behavior in the Urban Environment." (9) But even these excellent contributions are far from constituting an ordered and coherent framework of theory upon which research might profitably proceed.

In the pages that follow we shall seek to set forth a limited number of identifying characteristics of the city. Given these characteristics we shall then indicate what consequences or further characteristics follow from them in the light of general sociological theory and empirical research. We hope in this manner to arrive at the essential propositions comprising a theory of urbanism. Some of these propositions can be supported by a considerable body of already available research materials; others may be ac amount of presumptive cep<mark>ted as hypotheses for which a certain</mark> evidence exists, but for which more ample and exact verification would be required. At least such a procedure will, it is hoped, show what in the way of systematic knowledge of the city we now have and what are the crucial and fruitful hypotheses for future research.

The central problem of the sociologist of the city is to dis cover the forms of social action and organization that typically emerge in relatively permanent, compact settlements of large must also infer that numbers of heterogeneous individuals. We urbanism will assume its most characteristic and extreme form in the measure in which the conditions with which it is congruent are present. Thus the larger, the more densely populated, and the more heterogeneous a community, the more accentuated the characteristics associated with urbanism will be. It should be recongnized, however, that in the social world institutions and practices may be accepted and continued for reasons other than those that originally brought life them into existence, and that accordingly the urban mode of

9. Park, Burgess, et al., op. cit., chap i. CE LANÚS

may be perpetuated under conditions quite foreign to those necessary for its origin.

Some justification may be in order for the choice of principal terms comprising our definition of the city. The attempt has been made to make it as inclusive and at the same time as dénotative as possible without loading it with unnecessary dassump tions. To say that large numbers are necessary to constitute acity means, of course, large numbers in relation to a restricted area or high density of settlement. There are, nevertheless, good reasons for treating large numbers and density as separate factors; since each may be connected with significantly different social consequen ces. Similarly the need for adding heterogeneity to numbers of of population as a necessary and distinct criterion of urbanism might be questioned, since we should expect the range of differences to increase with numbers. In defense, it may be said that the city shows a kind and degree of heterogeneity of population which cannot be wholly accounted for by the law of large numbers or adequately represented by means of a normal distribution curve. Since the population of the city does not reproduce itself, it must recruit its migrants from other cities, the countryside, and-in this country until recently-from other countries. The city has thus historically been the melting-pot of races, peoples, and cultures, and a most favorable breeding-ground of new biological and cultural hybrids. It has not only tolerated but rewarded individual differences. It has brought together people from the ends of the earth because they are different and thus useful to one another, rather than because they are homogeneous and like-minded. (10)

10. The justification for including the term "permanent" in the definition may appear necessary. Our failure to give an extensive justification for this qualifying mark of the urban rests on the obvious fact that unless human settlements take a fairly permanent root in a locality the characteristics of urban life can not arise, and conversely the living together of large numbers of of heterogeneous individuals under dense conditions is not possible without the development of a more or less technological structure.

an Ger

The for the fight war

There are a number of sociological propositions concerning the relationship between (a) numbers of population, (b) density of set tlement, (c) heterogeneity of inhabitants and group life, which can be formulated on the basis of observation and research.

Size of the Population Aggregate. Ever since Aristotle's Politics, (11) it has been recognized that increasing the number of inhabitants in a settlement beyond a certain limit will affect the relationship between them and the character of the city. Large num ber involve, as has been pointed out, a greater range of individual (variation. Furthermore, the greater the number of individual participating in a process of interaction, the greater is the potential differentiation between them. The personal traits, the occupations,

11. See esp. vii. 4. 4-14. Translated by B. Jowett, from which the following may be quoted:

"To the size of states there is a limit, as there is to other things, plants, animals, implements; for none of these retain their natural power when they are too large or too small, but they either wholly lose their nature, or are spoiled... (A) state when composed of too few is not as a state ought to be, self-sufficing; when of too many, though self-sufficing in all mere necessaries, it is a nation and not a state, being almost incapable of constitutional government. For who can be the general of such a vast multitude, or who the herald, unless he have the voice of s Stentor?

"A state then only begins to exist when it has attained a popu lation sufficient for a good life in the political community; it may indeed somewhat exceed this number. But, as I was saying, there must be a limit. What should be the limit will be easily ascertained by experience. For both governors and governed have duties to perform; the special functions of a governor are to command and to judge. But if the citizens of a state are to judge and to distribute offices according to merit, then they must know each other's characters; where they do not possess this knowledge, both the election to offices and the decision of law suits will go wrong. When the popu lation is very large they are manifestly settled at haphazard, which clearly ought not to be。 Besides, in an overpopulous state foreigners and metics will readily acquire the rights of citizens, for who will find them out? Clearly, then, the best limit of the population of a state is the largest number which suffices for the purposes of life, and can be taken in at a single view. Enough con cerning the size of a city."

the cultural life, and the ideas of the members of an urban commun<u>i</u> ty may, therefore, be expected to range between more widely seprated poles than those of rural inhabitants.

13

That such variations should give rise to the spetial segregation of individuals according to color, ethnic heritage, economic and social status, tastes and preferences, may readily be inferred. The bonds of kinship, of neighborliness, and the sentiments arising out of living together for generations under a common folk tradition are likely to be absent or, at best, relatively weak in an aggregate the members of which have such diverse origins and backgrounds. Under such circumstances competition and formal control mechanisms furnish the substitutes for the bonds of solidarity that are relied upon to hold a folk society together.

Increase in the number of inhabitants of a community beyond a few hundred is bound to limit the possibility of each member of the community knowing all the others personally. Max Weber, in recognizing the social significance of this fact, pointed out that from a sociological point of view large numbers of inhabitants and density of settlement mean that the personal mutual acquaintanceship between the inhabitants which ordinarily inheres in a neighborhood is lacking. (12) The increase in numbers thus involves a changes character of the social relationships. As Simmel points out:

(If) the unceasing external contact of numbers of persons in the city should be met by the same number of inner reactions as in the small town, in which one knows almost every person he meets and to each of whom he has a positive relationship, one would be compl<u>e</u> tely atomized internally and would fall into an unthinkable mental condition. (13)

12. Op. cit., p. 514.

13. Goerg Simmel, "Die Grossstädte und des Geistesleben," Die Grossstadt, edf. Theodor Petermann (Dresden, 1903), pp. 187-206. The multiplication of persons in a state of interation under conditions which make their contact as full personalities impossible, produces that segmentalization of human relationships which has some times been seized upon by students of the mental life of the cities as an explanation for the "schizoid" character of urban personalty. This is not to say that the urban inhabitants have fewer acquaintances than rural inhabitants, for the reverse may actually be true; it means rather that in relation to the number of people whom they see and with whom they rub elbows in the course of daily like, they know a smaller proportion, and of these they have less intensive knowledge.

Characteristically, urbanites meet one another in high seg mental roles. They are, to be sure, dependent upon more people for the satisfactions of their life-needs than are rural people and thus are associated with a greater number of organized groups, but they are less dependent upon particular persons, and their dependenceupon particular persons, and their dependence upon others is confined to a highly fractionalized aspect of the other's round of activity. This is essentially what is meant by saying that the city is charac terized by secondary rather than primary contacts. The contacts of the city may indeed be face to face, but they are nevertheless im. personal, superficial, transitory, and segmental. The reserve, the indifference, and the blasé outlook which urbanites manifest in thier relationships may thus be regarded as devices for immunizing themselves against the personal claims and expectations of others.

The superficiality, the anonymity, and the transitory character of urban-social relations make intelligible, also, the sophist<u>i</u>. cation and the rationality generally ascribed to city-dwellers. Our acquaintances tend to stand in a relationship of utility to us in the sense that the role which each one plays in our life is ove<u>r</u>. whelmingly regarded as a means for the achievement of our own ends. Whereas, therefore, the individual gains, on the one hand, a certain

degree of emancipation or freedom from the personal and emotional controls of intimate groups, he loses, on the other hand, the spontaneous self-expression, the morale, and the sense of participation that comes with living in an integrated society. This constitutes essentially the state of anomie or the social void to which Durkheim alludes in attempting to account for the various forms of social disorganization in technological society.

ារិសៀ ដែលដែ The segmental character and utilitarian accent of interpersonal, relations in the city find their institutional expression in proliferation of specialized tasks which we see in their most deloped form in the professions. The operations of the pecuniary nexus lead to predatory relationships, which tend to obstruct efficient functioning of the social order unless checked by professional codes and occupational etiquette. The premium put upon util ity and efficiency suggests the adaptability of the corporatedevice for the organization of enterprises in which individuals can engage only in groups. The advantage that the corporation has "over the individual entrepreneur and the partnership in the urban-industrial world derives not only from the possibility it affords of centralizing the resources of thousands of individuals or from the legal ... privilege of limited liability and perpetual succession, but from There is a start of the starts a the fact that the corporation has no soul.

The specialization of individuals, particularly in their occupations, can proceed only, as Adam Smith pointed out, upon the basis of an enlarged market, which in turn accentuates the division of labor. This enlarged market is only in part supplied by the city's hinterland; in large measure it is found among the large num bers that the city itself contains. The dominance of the city over the sorrounding hinterland becomes explicable in terms of the division of labor which urban life occasions and promotes. The extreme degree of interdependence and the unstable equilibrium of urban life

11.0

niversidad Nacional de Lanús

are closely associated with the division of labor and the specializa tion of occupations. This interdependence and instability is in creased by the tendency of each city to specialize in those functions in which it has the greatest advantage.

In a community composed of a larger number of individuals than can know one another intimately and can be assembled in one spot, it becomes necessary to communicate through indirect media and to ar ticulate individual interests by a process of delegation. Typically in the city, interests are made effective through representation. The individual counts for little, but the voice of the representative is heard with a deference roughly proportional to the numbers for whom he speaks.

While this characterization of urbanism, in so far as it derives from large numbers, does not by any means exhaust the sociological inferences that might be drawn from our knowledge of the relationship of the size of a group to the characteristic behavior of the members, for the sake of brevity the assertions made may serve to exemplify the sort of propositions that might be developed.

Density. As in the case of numbers, so in the case of concentration in limited space, certain consequences of revelance in sociological analysis of the city emerge. Of these only a few can be indicated.

As Darwin pointed out for flora and fauna and as Durkheim (14) noted in the case of human societies, an increase in numbers when area is held constant (i.e., an increase in density) tends to produce differentiation and specialization, since only in this way can the area support increased numbers. Density thus reinforces the effect of numbers in diversifying men and their activities and in increasing the complexity of the social structure.

On the subjective side, as Simmel has suggested, the close

14. E. Durkheim, De la division du travail social (Paris, 1932) p. 248. physical contact of numerous individuals necessarily produces a shift in the mediums through which we orient ourselves to the urban milieu, especially to our fellow-men. Typically, our physical contact are close but our social contacts are distant. The urban world puts a premium on visual recognition. We see the uniform which denotes the role of the functionaries and are oblivious to the personal eccentricities that are hidden behind the uniform. We tend to acquire and develop a sensitivity to a world of artifacts and become progressively farther removed from the world of nature.

We are exposed to glaring contrasts between splendor and squalor between riches and poverty, intelligence and ignorance, order and chaos. The competition for space is great, so that each area general ly tends to be put to the use which yields the greatest economic return. Place of work tends to become dissociated from place of residence, for the proximity of industrial and commercial establish ments makes an area both economically and socially undesirable for [residential purposes.

Density, land values, rentals, accessibility, healthfulness, prestige, aesthetic consideration, absence of nuisances such as moice, smoke, and dirt determine the desirability of various areas of the city as places of settlement for different sections of the population, Place and nature of work, income, racial and ethnic characeteristics, social status, custom, habit, taste, preference, and prejudice are among the significant factors in accordance with which the urban population is selected and distributed into more or less distinct settlements。 Diverse population elements inhabiting a compact set tlement thus tend to become segregated from one another in the degree in which their requirements and modes of life are incompati ble with one another and in the measure in which they are antagonistic to one another. Similarly, persons of homogeneous status and needs unwittingly drift into, consciously select, or are forced by circumstances into, the same area。 The different parts of the The city consequently city thus acquire specialized functions.

tends to resemble a mosaic of social worlds in which the transition from one to the other is abrupt. The juxtaposition of divergent per sonalities and modes of life tends to produce a relativistic perspective and a sense of toleration of difference which may be re garded as prerequisites for rationality and which lead toward the secularization of life. (15)

The close living together and working together of individuals who have no sentimental and emotional ties foster a spirit of compe tition, aggrandizement, and mutual exploitation. To counteract irresponsibility and potential disorder, formal controls tend to be resorted to. Without rigid adherence to predictable routines а large compact society would scarcely be able to maintain itself. The clock and the traffic signal are symbolic of the basis of our social order in the urban world. Frequent close physical contact, coupled with great social distance, accentuates the reserve of unattached individuals toward one another and, unless compensated for by other opportunities for response, gives rise to loneliness. The necessary frequent movement of great numbers of individuals in a congested habitat gives occasion to friction and irritation. Nervous tensions which derive from such personal frustations are accentuated by the rapid tempo and the complicated technology under which life in dense areas must be lived.

15. The extent to which the segregation of the population into distinct ecological and cultural areas and the resulting social at<u>ti</u> tude of tolerance, rationality, and secular mentality are functions of density as distinguised from heterogeneity is difficult to determine. Most likely we are dealing here with phenomena which are consequences of the simultaneous operation of both factors.

Instituto de Salud Colectiva

niversidad Nacional de Lanús

1 Heterogeneity. The social interaction among such a variety of person ality types in the urban milieu tends to break down the rigidity of caste lines and to complicate the class structure, and thus induces a more ramified and differentiated framework of social stratification than is found in more integrated societies. The heightened mobility of the individual, which brings him within the range of stimulation by a great number of diverse individuals and subjects him to fluctu ating in the differentiated social groups that compose the social structure of the city, tends toward the acceptance of instability and insecurity in the world at large as a norm. This fact helps to account, too, for the sophistication and cosmopolitanism of the urbanite. No single group has the undivided allegiance of the indi vidual. The groups with which he is affiliated do not lend them selves readily to a simple hierarchical arrangement. By virtue of his different interests arising out of different aspects of social life, the individual acquires membership in widely divergent groups, each of which functions only with reference to a single segment of his personality. Nor do these groups easily permit of a concentric arrangement so that the narrower ones fall within the circumference of the more inclusive ones, as is more likely to be the case in the rural community or in primitive societies. Rather the groups with which the person typically is affiliated are tangential to each other or intersect in highly variable fashion.

Partly as a result of the physical footlooseness of the population and partly as a result of their social mobility, the turnover in group membership generally is rapid. Place of residence, place and character of employment, income and interests fluctuate, and the task of holding organizations together and maintaining and promoting intimate and lasting acquaintanceship between the members is difficult. This applies trikingly to the local areas within the city into which persons become segregated more by virtue of differences in race, language, income, and social status, than through choice or positive attraction to people like themselves. Overwhelmingly the city-

dweller is not a home-owner, and since a transitory habitat does not generate binding traditions and sentiments, only rarely is he truly a neighbor. There is little opportunity for the individual to obtain a conception of the city as a whole or to survey his place in the total scheme. Consequently he finds it difficult to determine what is to his own "best interests" and to decide between the issues and leaders presented to him by the agencies of mass suggestions. Individuals who are thus detached from the organized bodies which integrate society comprise the fluid masses that make collective behavior in the urban community so unpredictable and hence so problematical.

Although the city, through the recruitment of variant types to perform its diverse tasks and the accentuation of their uniqueness through competition and the premium upon eccentricity, novelty, ef ficient performance, and inventiveness, produces a highly differentiated population, it also exercises a leveling influence. Wherever large numbers of differently constituted individuals congre gate, the process of depersonalization also enters. This leveling tendency inheres in part in the economic basis of the city. The development of large cities, at least in the modern age, was largely dependent upon the concentrative force of steam. The rise of the factory made possible mass production for an impersonal market. The fullest exploitation of the possibilities of the division of labor and mass production, however, is possible only with standard ization of processes and products. A money economy goes hand in as cities hand with such a system of production. Progressively have developed upon a background of this system of production, the pecuniary nexus which implies the purchasability of services and things has displaced personal relations as the basis of association. Individuality under these circumstances must be replaced by categories. When large numbers have to make common use of facilities and institutions, an arrangement must be made to adjust the facilities and institutions to the needs of the average person rather than to

those of particular individuals. The services of the public utilities, of the recreational, educational, and cultural institutions must be adjusted to mass requirements. Similarly, the cultural institutions, such as the schools, the movies, the radio, and the newspapers, by virtue of their mass clientele, must necessarily operate as leveling influences. The political process as it appears in urban life could not be understood without taking account of the mass appeals made through modern propaganda techniques. If the individual would participate at all in the social, political, and economic life of the city, he must subordinate some of his individu ality to the demands of the larger community and in that measure immerse himself in mass movements.

20

٤.,

IV. THE RELATION BETWEEN A THEORY OF URBANISM AND SOCIOLOGICAL RESEARCH. By means of a body of theory such as that illustratively sketched above, the complicated and many-sided phenomena of urbanism may be analyzed in terms of a limited number of basic categories. The sociological approach to the city thus acquires an essential unity and coherence enabling the empirical investigator not merely to focus more distinctly upon the problems and processes that proper ly fall in his province but also to treat his subject matter in a more integrated and systematic fashion. A few typical findings of empirical research in the field of urbanism, with special reference to the United States, may be indicated to substantiate the theoret<u>i</u> cal propositions set forth in the preceding pages, and some of the crucial problems for further study may be outlined.

On the basis of the three variables, number, density of settlement, and degree of heterogeneity, of the urban population, it appears possible to explain the chafacteristics of urban life and to account for the differences between cities of various sizes and types.

Urbanism as a characteristic mode of life may be approached

Nacior